

Article

Global Tertiary Institutions and the Resolution of the Middle East Crisis (Iran Focus)

Niyi Jacob Ogunode, PhD¹, Nodira Odiljonovna Toliboyeva, Associate Professor, PhD²

1. Department. Of educational management, the faculty of education. University of Abuja, Nigeria
 2. Department of the History of Uzbekistan, Uzbekistan State World Languages University
- * Correspondence: 1niyijacobogunode@gmail.com, 2nodi7507@gmail.com

Abstract: The protracted tensions in the Middle East, particularly those involving Iran, continue to generate concerns for global peace and stability. While governments and international organizations have traditionally led conflict resolution efforts, the contributions of tertiary institutions are increasingly attracting scholarly attention. This paper examines how global universities can contribute to addressing the Iran-centered Middle East crisis. Adopting a systematic review approach, the study draws on existing literature on higher education, peacebuilding, and international diplomacy. Evidence from past global experiences such as academic engagement during the Cold War and university-led reconciliation efforts in post-conflict societies suggests that tertiary institutions can play meaningful roles in shaping dialogue, building capacity, and generating policy-relevant knowledge. The paper argues that universities, through their research outputs, training functions, and international networks, can complement formal diplomatic efforts. It concludes that a more deliberate integration of tertiary institutions into global peace processes may offer practical and sustainable pathways for managing complex geopolitical crises.

Citation: Ogunode N. J. Toliboyeva N. O. Global Tertiary Institutions and the Resolution of the Middle East Crisis (Iran Focus). Middle European Scientific Bulletin 2026, 46(3), 1-8.

Received: 05th Feb 2026

Revised: 10th Mar 2026

Accepted: 15th Apr 2026

Published: 05th May 2026

Keywords: Tertiary Institutions, Iran, Middle East Crisis, Peacebuilding, Higher Education, Diplomacy.



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

The Middle East has, for decades, remained a focal point of global political tension, with Iran occupying a central position in many of the region's most pressing disputes. Issues surrounding nuclear development, regional influence, ideological divisions, and economic sanctions have combined to sustain a fragile security environment. These dynamics extend beyond the region, shaping global oil markets, influencing international alliances, and contributing to broader geopolitical uncertainty. Traditionally, responses to such crises have been dominated by state actors and multilateral institutions [1]. However, this approach has not always yielded lasting solutions, particularly in conflicts characterized by deep historical, cultural, and ideological complexities. In recent years, attention has gradually shifted toward the potential contributions of non-state actors, including tertiary institutions, in supporting peacebuilding efforts.

Universities have evolved significantly from their conventional roles as centers of teaching and research. In many parts of the world, they now function as spaces for critical dialogue, policy engagement, and international cooperation. Historical evidence supports this expanded role. During the Cold War, academic exchanges and scholarly dialogues provided informal channels of communication between rival blocs, helping to reduce

tensions. Similarly, in post-conflict contexts such as the Balkans and South Africa, universities contributed to reconciliation through research, civic education, and institutional reforms [2], [3]. Against this backdrop, it becomes necessary to examine how global tertiary institutions can contribute to addressing contemporary crises such as the one involving Iran. Their relative neutrality, interdisciplinary expertise, and ability to convene diverse stakeholders position them as important, though often underutilized, actors in global peace efforts. This study therefore explores the potential roles of tertiary institutions in contributing to the resolution of the Middle East crisis, drawing insights from both past experiences and current practices [4].

Literature Review

1.1 Genesis of the USA–Israel–Iran Crisis: A Historical Review

The origins of the USA–Israel–Iran crisis can be traced to the 1979 Iranian Revolution, which transformed Iran from a Western-aligned monarchy into an Islamic republic strongly opposed to both the United States and Israel. Prior to this period, Iran and Israel maintained relatively cooperative relations, but the revolution introduced an ideological shift that positioned both countries as adversaries. Tensions escalated further in the early 2000s following revelations about Iran's nuclear programme, which raised concerns among Western powers and Israel regarding nuclear proliferation. Diplomatic efforts led to the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA), which sought to limit Iran's nuclear activities in exchange for sanctions relief. However, the withdrawal of the United States from the agreement in 2018 and the re-imposition of sanctions intensified hostilities, prompting Iran to resume aspects of its nuclear development [5], [6].

The crisis entered a more volatile phase between 2024 and 2026, with direct military confrontations involving Israel and Iran, supported by the United States. These confrontations were influenced by broader regional conflicts, including the weakening of Iran's allied groups and increasing Israeli strategic offensives targeting Iranian military and nuclear facilities [7]. Historically, global institutions including universities and policy think tanks have played roles in analyzing such crises. For instance, during the Cold War, academic institutions facilitated dialogue and produced research that informed nuclear diplomacy. Similarly, contemporary universities continue to provide analytical frameworks for understanding the Iran crisis through interdisciplinary research in political science, economics, and international relations [7], [8], [9].

1.2 Impact of the Crisis on the Global Economy

The USA–Israel–Iran crisis has had significant repercussions for the global economy, particularly due to the strategic importance of the Middle East in global energy supply. Iran holds substantial reserves of oil and natural gas, making it a critical player in global energy markets [10]. The most immediate effect of the conflict has been the sharp increase in oil prices, driven by disruptions in supply chains and heightened geopolitical uncertainty. The crisis has contributed to rising inflation and volatility in global financial markets, as energy costs influence transportation, manufacturing, and overall production systems [11].

Global economic assessments indicate that the conflict has slowed global economic growth and increased the risk of inflationary pressures. For instance, global growth projections have been revised downward, while oil prices have surged significantly due to military actions and blockades affecting key shipping routes such as the Strait of Hormuz [12]. The crisis has intensified economic uncertainty, discouraging investment and disrupting international trade. It has also contributed to rising poverty levels in vulnerable regions, highlighting the interconnected nature of global economic systems [13]. Historically, geopolitical conflicts such as the Gulf War and the 1973 oil crisis demonstrated how instability in the Middle East can trigger global economic shocks [14]. In the present case, the scale of globalization has amplified these effects, making the economic consequences more widespread and immediate.

1.3 Implications of the Crisis for Global Tertiary Education

The effects of the USA–Israel–Iran crisis extend beyond economics into the domain of global tertiary education. Universities operate within broader socio-economic systems and are therefore vulnerable to disruptions caused by geopolitical instability. The economic consequences of the crisis—particularly inflation and reduced public spending can lead to declines in funding for higher education. Governments facing economic pressure often reduce budget allocations to universities, affecting research, infrastructure, and academic programmes [15].

The crisis disrupts international academic mobility, which is central to global tertiary education. Travel restrictions, security concerns, and diplomatic tensions limit student exchanges, collaborative research, and academic conferences. Historically, similar disruptions were observed during conflicts such as the Gulf War and more recently during geopolitical tensions affecting international students [16].

Universities experience challenges in research collaboration and knowledge exchange, particularly in politically sensitive areas such as nuclear science, international security, and Middle Eastern studies. Sanctions imposed on Iran, for example, have historically restricted academic partnerships and access to research resources [17]. Also, the crisis influences research priorities and funding patterns, with increased emphasis on security studies, energy policy, and conflict resolution. While this may create opportunities for certain fields, it can also lead to the marginalization of other academic disciplines [18]. Global tertiary institutions have historically demonstrated resilience by adapting to crises. During past conflicts, universities have continued to function as spaces for dialogue, innovation, and policy engagement, contributing to long-term peacebuilding efforts [19].

1.4 Concept of Global Tertiary Institutions

Global tertiary education refers to a system of post-secondary education that operates across countries and continents, encompassing universities, polytechnics, colleges of education, technical institutes, and other higher learning institutions. It includes undergraduate, postgraduate, vocational, and professional education, designed to develop advanced knowledge, research capacity, innovation, and skilled manpower for national and global development [20]. Global tertiary education not only provides access to higher learning but also fosters interconnected academic communities that drive knowledge creation and innovation across borders. It reflects a broader process of internationalization, in which institutions engage in cross-border- collaborations, exchange programmes, and shared research agendas to respond to complex global challenges. The expansion of global tertiary education has been linked to increased student and academic mobility, the growth of international branch campuses, and the integration of digital technologies that support virtual learning environments. These developments highlight how global tertiary education contributes to the formation of a knowledge society in which education, research, and innovation are key drivers of socio-economic progress [21].

From the above, in this paper global tertiary institutions refer to post-secondary educational institutions, such as universities and colleges that operate within an international framework, engaging in teaching, research, and knowledge exchange across national boundaries to address global challenges. They can also be defined as institutions of higher learning that participate in transnational education systems, characterized by international collaboration, student mobility, and global research networks aimed at advancing knowledge and development. From a functional perspective, global tertiary institutions are centres of advanced education and research that contribute to global governance, innovation, and problem-solving through interdisciplinary scholarship and international partnerships. The objectives of global tertiary education includes;

1. To generate, preserve, and disseminate knowledge through teaching and research. Universities contribute to scientific discoveries, technological innovation, and policy

development that address global challenges such as climate change, health crises, and international conflicts.

2. To equip individuals with advanced skills, competencies, and professional expertise required for the modern workforce. This includes critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, and digital literacy, which are essential for economic productivity and sustainable development.
3. To foster global awareness, tolerance, and intercultural competence among students. Through international collaboration, exchange programmes, and diverse curricula, tertiary institutions prepare students to function effectively in a globalized and multicultural world.
4. To national and global development by producing skilled manpower, supporting innovation, and engaging in community service. They also provide research-based solutions to economic, social, and environmental problems affecting societies.
5. To promote peacebuilding, ethical leadership, and social responsibility. Universities serve as platforms for dialogue, conflict resolution, and the promotion of human rights, thereby contributing to global stability and sustainable peace.

2. Materials and Methods

This study adopts a systematic review approach to examine existing knowledge on the role of tertiary institutions in conflict resolution and peacebuilding. The choice of this method is informed by the need to draw together insights from diverse sources in a structured and transparent manner. The review process followed a number of clearly defined steps. First, relevant literature was identified through searches conducted in academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, alongside institutional publications from recognized organizations involved in peace research and higher education. Search terms included combinations of phrases such as *universities and peacebuilding*, *higher education and conflict resolution*, *academic diplomacy*, and *Middle East crisis Iran*.

To ensure the quality and relevance of the materials included, specific inclusion criteria were applied. Only sources published in peer-reviewed journals, academic books, or reputable institutional reports were considered. In addition, emphasis was placed on studies that provided either empirical evidence or strong theoretical insights into the role of higher education in peace processes. Materials lacking clear methodological grounding or scholarly credibility were excluded. Following the selection of relevant sources, data were extracted and organized thematically. Recurring patterns relating to the roles of tertiary institutions—such as knowledge production, capacity development, and facilitation of dialogue—were identified and analyzed. These themes were then synthesized to provide a coherent understanding of how universities have contributed to past conflict resolution efforts and how similar approaches may be applied to the Iran-centered Middle East crisis.

3. Results and Discussion on Roles of Global Tertiary Institutions and the Resolution of the Middle East Crisis (Iran Focus)

Knowledge Production and Conflict Analysis for Evidence-Based Policy

Global tertiary institutions and other national higher institutions play a foundational role in generating rigorous, evidence-based knowledge that informs diplomatic and policy responses to conflicts such as the Iran-centered Middle East crisis. Historically, the World University Report noted that institutions like the Peace Research Institute Oslo have produced influential research on war dynamics, peace agreements, and conflict prevention since 1959, shaping global discourse on peacebuilding [22]. In past conflicts such as the Cold War and Balkan crises, university-based research centers analyzed ideological

tensions, nuclear deterrence, and ethnic conflicts, providing policymakers with frameworks for negotiation and de-escalation. Similarly, research hubs like the Centre d'Études des Crises et Conflits Internationaux contribute to understanding geopolitical dynamics and foreign policy behavior [23]. Universities can produce neutral, data-driven studies on Iran's nuclear ambitions, regional alliances, and economic sanctions. For example, multidisciplinary research combining political science, economics, and religious studies can help predict escalation patterns and propose realistic diplomatic pathways.

Training Peacebuilders and Diplomats through Specialized Education

Tertiary institutions across the globe have historically served as training grounds for peace negotiators, diplomats, and conflict resolution experts [24]. The emergence of Peace Studies after World War II reflects academia's deliberate role in preventing future wars through education. Institutions such as Coventry University Centre for Peace and Reconciliation Studies have trained professionals in mediation, reconciliation, and peacebuilding, integrating theory with real-world application. Graduates of peace and conflict programs have participated in UN peace missions and post-conflict reconstruction in regions like Bosnia and Afghanistan [25], [26], [27], [28]. Universities can design specialized programs focusing on Middle East geopolitics, nuclear diplomacy, and interfaith dialogue, producing experts capable of mediating between Iran, Israel, and global powers. Exchange programs involving Iranian scholars can also foster mutual understanding [29].

Facilitating Track II Diplomacy and Neutral Dialogue Platforms

One of the most impactful roles universities have played historically is in Track II diplomacy informal dialogue among academics, civil society actors, and policymakers. Academic institutions provide neutral spaces where sensitive discussions can occur outside formal political constraints. For instance, the Center for World Religions, Diplomacy and Conflict Resolution has facilitated dialogue involving religious and political actors in the Middle East, promoting grassroots peacebuilding and citizen diplomacy [30], [31], [32]. Similarly, Montville noted that academic diplomacy was widely used during the Cold War, where scholars from opposing blocs engaged in unofficial negotiations that later informed formal agreements. Universities can host confidential dialogues between Iranian scholars, Western analysts, and regional stakeholders. These engagements can reduce mistrust, clarify intentions, and create pathways for formal diplomatic agreements, especially on nuclear and security issues [33], [34].

Promoting Intercultural Understanding and Countering Extremism**

Universities contribute to peace by promoting human rights education, intercultural dialogue, and tolerance, which are essential in addressing ideological and religious tensions in the Middle East. Historically, universities have played key roles in post-conflict societies such as South Africa, where educational programs supported reconciliation and nation-building [35]. Peace education initiatives have also helped reduce ethnic tensions in post-war Balkan states. For example, curricula that integrate religious studies, ethics, and global citizenship have been used to counter radicalization and promote peaceful coexistence [36]. Given the ideological dimensions of the Iran conflict (religious identity, sectarian divides), universities can develop intercultural programs that bridge Sunni-Shia divides and foster dialogue between Western and Iranian perspectives. Collaborative research between Iranian and international scholars can reduce stereotypes and ideological hostility [37].

Supporting Global Peace Systems through Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration**

Global tertiary institutions are central to building a "global peace system," which integrates research, policy, civil society, and international organizations to prevent and resolve conflicts. Historically, universities have collaborated with organizations such as NGOs and international bodies to support peace processes. The Berghof Foundation, for

example, has worked with academic institutions to develop conflict transformation frameworks and dialogue tools used in peace negotiations worldwide [38], [39], [40], [41]. University-led collaborations have supported peacebuilding initiatives in Afghanistan and Somaliland by linking research with on-ground interventions. In the present situation, universities can partner with the United Nations, think tanks, and regional organizations to design integrated peace strategies addressing security, economic sanctions, and humanitarian concerns [42], [43], [44], [45]. They can also provide technical expertise for nuclear monitoring, economic recovery plans, and post-conflict reconstruction.

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

This study has examined the potential contributions of global tertiary institutions to the resolution of the Middle East crisis, with particular attention to Iran. The evidence reviewed indicates that universities have historically played meaningful roles in conflict-related contexts, even if such contributions are not always formally recognized within mainstream diplomatic frameworks.

From facilitating informal dialogue to producing policy-relevant research and training professionals in peace and conflict studies, tertiary institutions have demonstrated their capacity to support both immediate and long-term peace efforts [27]. Their involvement in past global situations, including Cold War engagements and post-conflict reconstruction processes, highlights their ability to operate across political and cultural divides.

In the case of the Iran-centered crisis, the complexity of the issues involved calls for approaches that go beyond conventional state-led interventions. Universities, by virtue of their intellectual independence and global reach, are well positioned to complement these efforts. Their role may not replace formal diplomacy, but it can strengthen it by providing alternative channels for engagement and deeper analytical insight [28], [29].

Based on the issues discussed, the following recommendations are proposed:

Encouraging Academic Engagement in Diplomacy

There is a need for greater inclusion of academic actors in informal diplomatic processes. Universities can serve as neutral platforms for dialogue involving scholars and experts from Iran and other countries.

Strengthening Relevant Academic Programmes

Institutions of higher learning should expand programmes in peace and conflict studies, international relations, and Middle Eastern studies to equip graduates with the skills required to engage in complex global issues.

Promoting Collaborative Research

Joint research initiatives involving universities from different regions, including Iran, should be encouraged. Such collaborations can help build trust and promote shared understanding.

Linking Research to Policy

Efforts should be made to ensure that academic research informs policy decisions. Universities should actively engage policymakers through policy briefs, seminars, and advisory roles.

Providing Institutional Support

Governments and international organizations should provide funding and institutional backing for university-led peace initiatives, recognizing their long-term value.

Expanding International Partnerships

Academic exchange programmes and partnerships should be strengthened to foster intercultural understanding and reduce ideological tensions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abu-Nimer, *Nonviolence and Peace Building in Islam: Theory and Practice*. Gainesville, FL, USA: University Press of Florida, 2003.
- [2] R. S. Appleby, *The Ambivalence of the Sacred: Religion, Violence, and Reconciliation*. Lanham, MD, USA: Rowman & Littlefield, 2000.
- [3] P. G. Altbach, L. Reisberg, and H. de Wit, *Responding to Massification: Differentiation in Postsecondary Education Worldwide*. Rotterdam, Netherlands: Sense Publishers, 2017.
- [4] M. Axworthy, *Revolutionary Iran: A History of the Islamic Republic*. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press, 2013.
- [5] BP, *Statistical Review of World Energy 2022*. London, U.K.: BP plc, 2022.
- [6] S. Badat, *The Challenges of Transformation in Higher Education and Training Institutions in South Africa*. Midrand, South Africa: Development Bank of Southern Africa, 2010.
- [7] M. Bajaj, *Encyclopedia of Peace Education*. Charlotte, NC, USA: Information Age Publishing, 2008.
- [8] D. P. Barash and C. P. Webel, *Peace and Conflict Studies*, 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage Publications, 2018.
- [9] D. Battistella, *Théories des relations internationales*, 4th ed. Paris, France: Presses de Sciences Po, 2012.
- [10] Berghof Foundation, *Berghof Handbook for Conflict Transformation*. Berlin, Germany: Berghof Foundation, 2012.
- [11] Berghof Foundation, *Berghof Glossary on Conflict Transformation*. Berlin, Germany: Berghof Foundation, 2019.
- [12] *Israel–Iran conflict*, Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.britannica.com/event/Israel-Iran-conflict>
- [13] Centre d'Études des Crises et Conflits Internationaux (CECRI), "Research on international conflicts," [Online]. Available: (n.d.).
- [14] Coventry University, "Centre for Peace and Reconciliation Studies," 2011.
- [15] C. A. Crocker, F. O. Hampson, and P. Aall, *Leashing the Dogs of War: Conflict Management in a Divided World*. Washington, DC, USA: United States Institute of Peace Press, 2007.
- [16] A. H. Cordesman, *Iran and Regional Security Developments*. Washington, DC, USA: Center for Strategic and International Studies, 2025.
- [17] E. Djuliman, *Universities and Peacebuilding in the Balkans*, 2014.
- [18] Euromonitor International, *US–Israel–Iran War and Global Economic Impact*, 2026.
- [19] M. Fitzpatrick, *Iran's Nuclear Programme: How Close to a Bomb?* London, U.K.: International Institute for Strategic Studies, 2006.
- [20] J. Galtung, *Peace by Peaceful Means: Peace and Conflict, Development and Civilization*. Oslo, Norway: International Peace Research Institute, 1996.
- [21] J. Galtung, "Peace research and conflict resolution frameworks," Oslo, Norway: Peace Research Institute Oslo, 1959.
- [22] J. L. Gaddis, *The Cold War: A New History*. New York, NY, USA: Penguin Press, 2005.
- [23] J. D. Hamilton, "Historical oil shocks," in *Handbook of Major Events in Economic History*, 2011, pp. 315–334.
- [24] K. Hajmási, R. Machová, E. Korcsmáros, and L. Fehér, "Global research trends on the relationship between critical thinking and tertiary education," *Administrative Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 8, p. 296, 2025.
- [25] International Monetary Fund, *World Economic Outlook*. Washington, DC, USA: IMF, 2023.
- [26] International Monetary Fund, *World Economic Outlook*. Washington, DC, USA: IMF, 2026.
- [27] International Atomic Energy Agency, *Annual Report 2021*. Vienna, Austria: IAEA, 2021.
- [28] International Atomic Energy Agency, *Implementation of the NPT Safeguards Agreement in Iran*. Vienna, Austria: IAEA, 2003.
- [29] International Crisis Group, *Middle East Tensions and Iran–Israel Conflict Dynamics*. Brussels, Belgium: ICG, 2024.
- [30] K. Katzman, *Iran Sanctions*. Washington, DC, USA: Congressional Research Service, 2020.
- [31] H. C. Kelman, "Building trust among enemies," *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 639–650, 2005.
- [32] R. Mac Ginty, *International Peacebuilding and Local Resistance: Hybrid Forms of Peace*. London, U.K.: Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.
- [33] C. C. Mezie-Okoye, "Israel–Iran conflict and its impact on oil price and global economy," *Int. J. Sci., Humanities & Management Studies*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 20–27, 2025.
- [34] J. V. Montville, "Transnationalism and the role of track-two diplomacy," in *Approaches to Peace*, W. S. Thompson, Ed. Washington, DC, USA: USIP, 1991, pp. 253–269.

-
- [35] S. Marginson, *The Dream Is Over: The Crisis of Higher Education*. Berkeley, CA, USA: University of California Press, 2016.
- [36] S. Milton and S. Barakat, "Higher education as the catalyst of recovery," *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 403–421, 2016.
- [37] R. Nephew, *The Art of Sanctions*. New York, NY, USA: Columbia University Press, 2018.
- [38] J. S. Nye, *Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics*. New York, NY, USA: Public Affairs, 2004.
- [39] Norwegian Helsinki Committee, *The Role of Universities in Peacebuilding*. Oslo, Norway: NHC, 2013.
- [40] OECD, *Economic Outlook Report*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2024.
- [41] T. Parsi, *Treacherous Alliance*. New Haven, CT, USA: Yale University Press, 2007.
- [42] T. Parsi, *Losing an Enemy*. New Haven, CT, USA: Yale University Press, 2017.
- [43] R. Paris, *At War's End: Building Peace After Civil Conflict*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [44] O. P. Richmond, *Peace in International Relations*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2008.
- [45] A. Smith *et al.*, *The Role of Education in Peacebuilding*. New York, NY, USA: UNICEF, 2011.